

Responsibility

for negation of

international crimes

edited by

Patrycja Grzebyk

Table of Contents

Introduction 13

AGNIESZKA BIEŃCZYK-MISSALA

The Causes and Consequences of Negationism 19

Causes 20

Consequences 24

CHARIS PAPACHARALAMBOUS

Incrimination of Negationism:

Doctrinal and Law-Philosophical Implications 31

1. Introduction 31

2. Criminal Law Theory 32

3. Law-philosophical implications 35

3.1. On criminal law meta-theory 35

3.2. Theorizing on ethics and justice 37

PIERGIUSEPPE PARISI

The Obligation to Criminalise Historical Denialism in a Multilevel Human Rights System 41

Introduction 41

1. Does general international law provide
for an obligation to criminalise denialism? 42

1.1. Freedom of speech and possible limitations under
international human rights law 42

1.2. The case law of the UN Human Rights Committee 45

- 2. Regional Level 46
 - 2.1. European Union 47
 - 2.2. Council of Europe 49
- 3. Conclusion: The role of criminal law 52

MARCIN GÓRSKI

**The Art of Negationism. Balancing Freedom
of Artistic Expression and the Right to Truth? 55**

IRENEUSZ C. KAMIŃSKI

**Debates over History
and the European Convention on Human Rights 69**

- 1. Introduction 69
- 2. Denial speech cases 72
- 3. Speech regarding the events of World War II
(other than denial speech) 76
- 4. Concluding remarks 82

ALEKSANDRA GLISZCZYŃSKA-GRABIAS

**The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights
in the Area of Europe's Totalitarian Past – Selected Examples 85**

- 1. Introductory remarks 85
- 2. ECtHR on the Fascist and Nazi past 86
- 3. Was Stalin as Bad as Hitler?
Was communism as evil as Nazism? 88
- 4. Concluding remarks 91

ALEKSANDRA MĘŻYKOWSKA

**History Distortion Cases – Protection of Personal Rights
of Victims of Denied Crimes in the Jurisprudence
of the European Court of Human Rights 93**

ALEXANDER TESISIS

Genocide Censorship and Genocide Denial 107

- Contrasting genocide censorship and genocide denial laws 107
- Polish victimhood, perpetration, & negation 111
- Comparative analysis of genocide denial and genocide censorship laws 113
- Conclusions 117
- Acknowledgement 119

ŁUKASZ POHL

KONRAD BURDZIAK

**Holocaust Denial and the Polish Penal Law –
Legal Considerations 123**

BOGUSŁAW LACKOROŃSKI

**Safeguarding the Good Repute of the Polish State and Nation
(Art. 53o–53q of the Act on the Institute of National
Remembrance – Commission for the Prosecution of Crimes
against the Polish Nation) 139**

1. Introductory notes 139
2. Scope of reference – legal regime for the protection of the good repute of the Republic of Poland and the Polish Nation 140
3. The subject and extent of protection under Art. 53o of the IPN Act 143
4. Active and passive standing to assert the right of protection of the good repute of the Republic of Poland and the Polish Nation 147
5. Local jurisdiction of Polish courts and jurisdiction in cases regarding protection of the good repute of the Republic of Poland and the Polish Nation 152
 - 5.1. Domestic jurisdiction in regard of entities domiciled, habitually resident or with a registered office in Poland 152

- 5.2. Basis for determining jurisdiction in defending the good repute of the Republic of Poland and the Polish Nation against entities domiciled, habitually resident or with a registered office outside of Poland 153
- 6. Outline of conflict-of-law rules – Art. 53q of the IPN Act 159
- 7. Summary 161

KLAUS BACHMANN

Civil Law and the Amendment of German and Polish Memory Laws 167

- 1. Civil law and the ban on Holocaust denial in Germany 168
- 2. Civil law and the Polish IPN law amendments 173
- Conclusions 176

MATTHIAS FAHRNER

Back to the Roots – the Obligation(s) to Punish Negationism in Germany 179

- A. Introduction 179
- B. Criminal Offences of Negationism in Germany 180
- C. International Legal Obligation(s) 182
- D. The European Union 183
- E. Constitutional obligations 185
 - I. Constitutional starting points 186
 - II. Violation by negationism 189
 - III. Obligation to punish 189
- F. Conclusions 191

VERONIKA BÍLKOVÁ

The Punishment of Negationism – the Czech Experience 195

- 1. Legislative history of par. 405 of the Czech Criminal Code 196
- 2. Case-law related to par. 405 of the Czech Criminal Code 197
- 3. Analysis of par. 405 of the Czech Criminal Code 199
 - 3.1. The right to freedom of expression 200
 - 3.2. The principle of legality (*nullum crimen sine lege*) 202
- 4. Concluding remarks 205

TAMÁS HOFFMANN

**The Punishment of Negationism in Hungarian Criminal Law –
Theory and Practice 207**

- I. Introduction 207
- II. The legislative history of the legal regulation of denial
of authoritarian crimes in Hungarian criminal law 207
 - II.1. The criminalization of the public denial
of the Holocaust 208
 - II.2. The criminalization of the public denial of the crimes
of national socialist and communist regimes 210
- III. Constitutional Court Decision no. 16/2013 212
- IV. The crime of the public denial of the crimes of national socialist
and communist regimes in judicial practice 214
- V. Conclusions 216

ANDRII NEKOLIAK

**Regulating Memory through Responsibility for Historical
Denialism: The Case of Unempowered Norms in Ukraine 219**

NEDŽAD SMILAGIĆ

**Negationism and Atrocity Crimes Committed
in the Former Yugoslavia: Criminal Law
and Transitional Justice Considerations 225**

1. Introduction 225
2. Towards International Law on Prohibition of Negationism 228
3. Criminal law prohibition of negationism in the former
Yugoslavia: comparative overview and assessment 233
 - 3.1. Full compliance with the 2008 Framework Decision 234
 - 3.2. Partial compliance with the 2008 Framework Decision 236
 - 3.3. North Macedonia and Kosovo 239
 - 3.4. Comparative assessment 240
4. Narrowing the space for negationism:
Some transitional justice policy considerations 241
5. Conclusions 244

GRAŻYNA BARANOWSKA

Penalizing Statements about the Past in Turkey 249

1. Introduction 249
2. Art. 301 and its role in criminalizing statements about the past 251
3. Statements about the past penalized under other criminal provisions 254
4. Conclusions 256

DIMITRIOS KAGIAROS

VASSILIS P. TZEVELEKOS

The Importance of State Practice in the Shaping of International Standards Pertaining to the Clash between Free Speech and the Banning of Negationism:

The Contribution of the Greek Legal Order 259

1. Encounters between law and history 259
2. The movement towards the prohibition and criminalisation of negationism, the absence of clearly established criteria for that purpose and the tension with freedom of expression 263
3. Resolving the tension between freedom of expression and the banning of negationism: a matter of law or rather a value judgment? 269
4. Why state practice on the banning of historical negationism matters and what can the Greek legal order teach us? 277
 - 4.1. Lack of consensus within Europe and the potential of state practice from a theoretical point of view 278
 - 4.2. Lessons from the criminalisation of negationism within the Greek legal order 280
5. Conclusions 286

ATHANASIOS CHOULIARAS

**Criminalizing Negationism in Greece: Legislative Choices
and Judicial Application 291**

1. Introduction 291
2. The political justification of the punishment
of negationism 292
3. The prerequisites and limits of punishment of negationism
in the European public order 295
4. Conclusions 300

BARTŁOMIEJ KRZAN

**Report on the First Day of the International Scientific Conference
“The Punishment of Negationism Memory Law – International
Crimes and the Problem of the Denial” 301**

KAROLINA WIERCZYŃSKA

**The Punishment of Negationism in the Experience of Central,
Eastern and Southern European States. Summary of the Second
Day of the Conference 305**

Biographical Notes 313