

# Table of Contents

Preface	9
---------	---

*Zbigniew Więckowski*

CHAPTER 1. The Right to a Fair Trial – the Council of Europe Perspective. A Critical Analysis of the Council of Europe Guidelines (CAHAI, CDCJ, CEPEJ)	19
1.1. Introduction	19
1.2. Output of Ad hoc Committee on Artificial Intelligence (CAHAI)	22
1.3. Output of European Committee on Legal Co-operation (CDCJ) concerning the impact of AI on justice	28
1.4. The output of the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) regarding the impact of AI on justice	37
1.5. Conclusions	41
REFERENCES	42

*Emőd Veress*

CHAPTER 2. Artificial Intelligence as a Legal or Technological Person, and as a Judge?	45
2.1. Introduction: A brief contemplation on personhood in law	45
2.1.1. Who (or what) may qualify as a “person”?	45
2.1.2. Persons before the law	47
2.2. AI’s claim to (legal) personhood as a “technological person”	53

2.2.1. Prolegomena	53
2.2.2. AI as a “technological person”	54
2.2.3. The case for and the case against legislating a technological person	56
2.3. What kind of “person” would an “artificial judge” be?	71
2.4. Regulatory proposals	75
2.4.1. AI as a technological person	75
2.4.2. AI as an artificial judge	80
2.5. Conclusions	83
REFERENCES	84

*István Ambrus*

CHAPTER 3. Substantive Criminal Law and Artificial Intelligence	91
3.1. Introduction	91
3.2. Options for the definition and classification – general characteristics of AI	93
3.3. General and criminally relevant fields of use of AI	97
3.4. AI and criminal liability	98
3.4.1. AI as the perpetrator itself	98
3.4.2. The “act” of AI	104
3.4.3. AI and compliance with statutory definitions	107
3.4.4. AI and unlawfulness (danger to society)	107
3.4.5. AI and culpability	111
3.5. Criminal sanctions	112
3.6. Some aspects in the context of the special part of criminal law	113
3.7. AI as the material object of the offence	115
3.8. Conclusion	115
REFERENCES	116

*Agnieszka Gryszczyńska*

CHAPTER 4. The Impact of the Proposed Regulation Establishing Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence in the European Union on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice in Poland	119
4.1. Introduction	119
4.2. The concept of artificial intelligence	121
4.3. State of regulation of artificial intelligence in Poland	124
4.4. Work on AI regulation in the EU	127
4.5. Digitalisation of justice systems	130
4.6. The impact of artificial intelligence on the internal openness of proceedings – on the example of the use of digitised case files in criminal proceedings	133
4.7. The need to maintain internal openness linked to the issue of protecting human rights	135
4.8. Conclusion	138
REFERENCES	141

*Rafał Wielki*

CHAPTER 5. Use of Artificial Intelligence in Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice	147
5.1. Introduction	147
5.2. Artificial intelligence and law enforcement	148
5.2.1. To start with: the “Good Guys” approach	148
5.2.2. Data analysis and pattern recognition	151
5.2.3. Image recognition and biometrics	154
5.2.4. Statistical evidence	158
5.3. Artificial intelligence and criminal justice	161
5.3.1. Prediction in Criminology	161
5.3.2. Automated decision-making	165
5.4. How to create laws on artificial intelligence?	171
5.4.1. Poland’s main goals	171
5.4.2. Trustworthy artificial intelligence – European Union’s approach	173
5.5. Conclusions and <i>de lege ferenda</i> comments	180
REFERENCES	184