# Table of Contents

### Preface

# 9

# Agata Kosieradzka-Federczyk

| CHAPTER 1. Entities with the Standing                     |    |
|---|----|
| to Bring Actions Before Administrative Courts             | 13 |
| 1.1. Introduction   | 13 |
| 1.2. The model of the administrative judiciary in Poland  | 16 |
| 1.3. Access to an administrative court                    |    |
| and the Constitution of the Republic of Poland            | 21 |
| 1.4. Characteristics of access to an administrative court | 24 |
| 1.5. Entities entitled to lodge a complaint               | 25 |
| 1.5.1. A person who has a legal interest                  | 31 |
| 1.5.2. The Public Prosecutor                              | 36 |
| 1.5.3. The Ombudsman and Children's Ombudsman             | 38 |
| 1.5.4. The Ombudsman for Small and Medium-sized           |    |
| Enterprises (SMEs)  | 40 |
| 1.5.5. Social organisation                                | 41 |
| 1.5.6. Other entities                                     | 47 |
| 1.6. Conclusions and postulates de lege ferenda           | 48 |
| REFERENCES  | 51 |

### Gábor Hulkó

| CHAPTER 2. The Activism of the Court o    | f Justice |
|---|-----------|
| of the European Union and the Possibiliti | es        |
| of Administrative Adjudication            | 55        |
| 2.1. Introduction                         | 55        |
| 2.2. Constitutional order and community   | law 58    |
| 2.2.1. Case Study of Poland               | 62        |
| 2.2.2. Case Study of Romania              | 71        |
| 2.2.3. Case Study of the Czech Repub      | lic 72    |
| 2.2.4. the Slovak Republic                | 80        |
| 2.3. Considerations                       | 84        |
| REFERENCES                                | 89        |

#### Kitti Pollák

| CHAPTER 3. Comparative Analysis                          |     |
|--|-----|
| of the Hungarian and Polish Administrative Court         |     |
| Procedural Rules Regarding the Acceleration              |     |
| of the Receipt of Final Decisions                        | 91  |
| 3.1. Introduction  | 91  |
| 3.2. Constitutional background of administrative justice | 92  |
| 3.3. The organisation of the court system deciding in    |     |
| administrative cases                                     | 100 |
| 3.4. Latest codification regarding administrative        |     |
| court proceedings in Hungary and in Poland               | 109 |
| 3.5. Novel rules regarding the acceleration              |     |
| of the receipt of final decisions                        | 117 |
| 3.5.1. Similar regulations in the Polish                 |     |
| and in the Hungarian codes regarding                     |     |
| administrative court proceedings                         | 118 |
| 3.5.2. Differences in the regulations                    |     |
| regarding administrative court proceedings               | 125 |
| 3.6. Conclusion  | 131 |
| REFERENCES   | 132 |

| Mateusz Pszczyński                                       |     |
|--|-----|
| CHAPTER 4. On-line Administrative Courts                 |     |
| and the Rule of Justice                                  | 143 |
| 4.1. Introduction  | 143 |
| 4.2. Administrative courts during a pandemic             | 144 |
| 4.3. Evidentiary proceedings                             | 153 |
| 4.4. Comparison of public hearings under the CovAct      | t   |
| and the ProcAdmCourt                                     | 155 |
| 4.5. The right to a public hearing as a principle of law | 157 |
| 4.6. Admission of the public as an element               |     |
| of external disclosure                                   | 162 |
| 4.7. <i>De lege ferenda</i> remarks                      | 164 |
| REFERENCES   | 166 |

## Przemysław Ostojski

| СНАР   | TER 5. Standards of the Judicial Review            |     |
|--------|--|-----|
| of Ad  | ministrative Decisions in Strategic Areas of the   |     |
| Polish | Economy: Necessity for Change                      |     |
| or Ent | trenchment of the Status Quo?                      | 169 |
| 5.1.   | Introductory remarks                               | 169 |
| 5.2.   | The European dimension of competition law          | 171 |
|        | 5.2.1. Standards of judicial review in the EU      | 171 |
|        | 5.2.2. Human rights within competition law         | 177 |
| 5.3.   | Standards of judicial review exercised by the CCPC | 183 |
|        | 5.3.1. Administrative legal scheme                 |     |
|        | and subject matter of review                       | 183 |
|        | 5.3.2. Procedural doubts around the model          |     |
|        | of judicial review by the CCPC                     | 187 |
|        | 5.3.3. Substantial review                          | 190 |
| 5.4.   | Conclusions  | 200 |
| REFEF  | RENCES   | 202 |