

Table of Contents

Preface	21
---------	----

PART I. RULE OF LAW

Bogdan Bodea

CHAPTER 1. A Critical Analysis of the Effects That Some Decisions of the Court of Justice of the European Union Produce Upon National Legal Systems.

The Rule of Law Perspective	27
1.1. Introduction	27
1.2. The Concept of the Rule of Law in Romania	30
1.3. The Specific Issue Regarding CJEU Decisions and the Rule of Law	38
1.3.1. Context	38
1.3.2. Rulings of the CJEU	44
1.3.3. Considerations Regarding the Above-Mentioned Rulings	50
1.4. Conclusions	53
BIBLIOGRAPHY	54

Tetiana Drakokhrust

CHAPTER 2. Access to Justice on Transitive Conditions:

The Ukrainian Perspective	57
2.1. Introduction	57
2.2. The Current State of Research on Access to Justice	58
2.3. Access to Justice: The Interpretation of the ECtHR and the Ukrainian Reality	69
2.4. Conclusions	84
BIBLIOGRAPHY	85

Svitlana Mazepa

CHAPTER 3. The Limits of Freedom of Speech in the Context of the Rule of Law in Conditions of the War Between Russia and Ukraine	89
3.1. Introduction	89
3.2. Indicating the Essence of the Rule of Law and Showing Whether the Rule of Law Is a Rule of Law, a Philosophical Idea, a Political Idea or, for Example, a Constitutional Rule	91
3.2.1. A Philosophical Idea	92
3.2.2. The Rule of Law as a Political Value	93
3.2.3. The Rule of Law as a Constitutional Principle	95
3.2.4. Freedom of Speech as One of the Main Attributes of the Rule of Law	98
3.3. An Indication of the Richness of the Interpretation of the Principle of the Rule of Law on the Basis of Ideological Solutions (Political Thought), Dogmatic Solutions (Legal Doctrine) and Legal Solutions (Jurisprudence of the Courts)	104
3.4. Presentation of the Native (Endemic) Perception of the Rule of Law and the Answer to the Question Whether a Given Local Tradition Introduces New Elements of the Rule of Law or Whether It Accepts a Single Universal Model of the Rule of Law	108
3.5. Considering How Historical and Traditional Experiences Influence the Perception and Understanding of the Rule of Law	112
3.6. Presentation of the Multifaceted Nature of the Rule of Law (Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Assembly, Independence of the Courts, Proper Definition of the Legitimacy Process) with an Indication That in Each of These Areas the Rule of Law May Be Interpreted Slightly Differently	119
3.7. Conclusions	124
BIBLIOGRAPHY	127

David Sehnálek

CHAPTER 4. Compensation for Damage Caused by the State to Individuals as a Manifestation of a Functional Rule of Law	133
4.1. Introduction	133
4.2. The Rule of Law in the Law of the Czech Republic	136
4.3. Rule of Law and State Liability for Damages	142
4.4. Problematic Aspects of State Liability for Damages	150
4.5. Limitation of State Liability for Damages	153
4.6. Elements of Liability Left to National Law	161
4.7. Linking the Rule of Law, the EU Rules on State Liability and National Law	163
4.8. Conclusions	171
BIBLIOGRAPHY	175

Miha Šepec

CHAPTER 5. Rule of Law in Slovenia and within the Criminal Law – the Impact of Non-Government Organisations on Criminal Law Legislation	179
5.1. Introduction	179
5.2. Rule of Law in Slovenia	182
5.3. Rule of Law in Criminal Law	190
5.3.1. Principles of Criminal law that Embody the Rule of Law	194
5.3.1.1. Principle of Legitimacy and Restricted Repression in Connection with the Rule of Law	198
5.3.1.2. Principle of Humanity and Individualisation of Criminal Sanctions	200
5.3.1.3. Principle of Legality	202
5.3.1.4. Principle of a Fair Trial	206
5.3.1.5. Coherency of the Criminal Code	208
5.4. Impact on Criminal Law Legislation by Non-Government Organisations	209
5.5. Conclusions	213
BIBLIOGRAPHY	214

Simoni Takashvili

CHAPTER 6. ADR and Its Legal Outcomes in the Light of Rule of Law and Legal Certainty	217
6.1. Introduction	217
6.2. The Main Concept of the Rule of Law	218
6.2.1. The Rule of Law in Georgia	219
6.2.2. The Rule of Law and ADR	221
6.2.3. The Rule of Law and ADR in Georgia	223
6.3. The Methods (Systems) of Civil Dispute Resolution in Georgia	224
6.3.1. General Concepts	224
6.3.2. The Civil Matters Open to Dispute in Arbitration and Mediation	227
6.3.2.1. Arbitration Disputes	227
6.3.2.2. Mediation	229
6.3.3. Court Intervention	230
6.4. Arbitration and Its Legal Outcomes in the Light of Rule of Law and Legal Certainty	231
6.4.1. Ensuring Procedural Rights in Arbitration Proceedings and the Rule of Law	231
6.4.2. Labour Arbitration and the Rule of Law	234
6.4.2.1. In General	234
6.4.2.2. Labour Arbitration in Georgia	235
6.4.3. Investment Arbitration in Georgia and Rule of Law	240
6.5. Mediation	249
6.5.1. Mediation as an Effective Mechanism in Ensuring the Rule of Law Principle in Dispute Resolution	249
6.5.2. Legal Backgrounds of Mediation in Georgia	251
6.5.2.1. International Regulation	251
6.5.2.2. National Legislation	252
6.5.3. Enforcement Mechanism of Mediation Settlement Act in the Context of the Rule of Law	254
6.6. Conclusions	257
BIBLIOGRAPHY	259

PART II. THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PROCESS

Ion Brad

CHAPTER 7. The Process of Constitutional Amendment

in Romania	265
7.1. Introduction	265
7.2. A Restrictive Process	271
7.2.1. The Juridical Regulation	272
7.2.1.1. The Procedure of Revision	272
7.2.1.2. Approval by Referendum	285
7.2.2. The Limits of Revision	288
7.2.2.1. Provisions That Cannot Be Revised	288
7.2.2.2. Circumstances That Prevent Revision	295
7.2.3. The Practical Application	297
7.3. A Necessary Evolution	302
7.3.1. The Jurisprudence of the Constitutional Court	303
7.3.2. The “Open” Part of the Constitution	306
7.4. Conclusions	307
BIBLIOGRAPHY	308

Rok Dacar

CHAPTER 8. The Role of Individual Actors

in the Constitutional Revision Procedure	311
8.1. Introduction	311
8.2. The Constitution of the Republic of Slovenia and the Procedure for Its Revision	313
8.2.1. Techniques for Revising the Constitution	313
8.2.2. On the Structure of the Slovenian Constitution	315
8.2.3. Revision Rules for the Slovenian Constitution	317
8.2.3.1. Revision Rules Set Forth by the Slovenian Constitution	317
8.2.3.2. Revision Rules Set Forth by the Rules of Procedure and by Parliamentary Practise	320
8.2.4. Revisions of the Slovenian Constitution – An Empirical Analysis	324

8.3. Passing a Constitutional Act and Passing a Law – Qualitative and Quantitative Comparison	327
8.4. Constitutional Legitimacy	329
8.4.1. The Notion of Constitutional Legitimacy	329
8.4.2. Constitutional Legitimacy in the Slovenian and Polish Legal Systems	332
8.5. Analysis of the Role of Selected Actors in the Process of Revising the Constitution	334
8.5.1. Government	334
8.5.2. Deputy Groups, Political Parties, and Deputies	337
8.5.3. Experts	340
8.6. Conclusions	341
BIBLIOGRAPHY	344

Miloš Deset

CHAPTER 9. The Rigidity of the Constitution and Its Relationship with the Legislative Process on Amendments of the Constitution	347
9.1. Introduction	347
9.2. Rigidity of the Constitution and Its Relevance for the Legal System	349
9.3. Influence of European Law on Amendments to the Slovak Constitution	362
9.4. The Legislative Process on Amendments of the Constitution	364
9.5. <i>De Lege Ferenda</i> Proposals	371
9.6. Conclusions	374
Attachments	376
BIBLIOGRAPHY	392

George Goradze

CHAPTER 10. Constitutional Law-Making in Georgia: Reality and Prospects	393
10.1. Introduction	393
10.2. Historical Review of Georgian Constitutionalism	395
10.2.1. The Origins of Georgian Constitutionalism	395
10.2.2. The Constitution of Georgia of 1995	397

10.2.2.1.	Adoption of the Constitution	397
10.2.2.2.	Structure and Content of the Constitution	398
10.2.2.3.	Rules for Revising the Constitution	401
10.2.3.	Constitutional Reforms of Georgia	402
10.2.3.1.	Constitutional Reform of 2004	404
10.2.3.2.	Constitutional Reform of 2010	407
10.2.3.3.	Rules for Revising the Constitution	409
10.2.3.4.	Constitutional Reform of 2017–2018	411
10.3.	Involvement of Society in the Process of Constitutional Reform	417
10.3.1.	Constitutional Commission	417
10.4.	Society's Attitude Towards Constitutional Reform	419
10.4.1.	National Democratic Institute (NDI) Research	419
10.4.2.	Sociological Research of the Constitutional Reform of 2017–2018	422
10.4.3.	Summary	424
10.5.	The Current Model of Revising the Constitution of Georgia	424
10.5.1.	Initiation of the Constitutional Legislative Process	425
10.5.2.	Adoption of Constitutional Law	427
10.6.	Impact of the Constitutional Court on Constitutional Law-Making Process	430
10.7.	Prospects for Constitutional Law-Making in Georgia	437
10.8.	Conclusions	439
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	441

Viktorii Ivaniuk

CHAPTER 11.	Amendments to the Constitution: Comparative Legal Aspects	449
11.1.	Introduction	449
11.2.	Materials and Methods	451
11.3.	Results and Discussion	452
11.3.1.	Doctrine and Approaches to Determining the Legal Nature of Amendments to the Constitution of Ukraine	452

11.3.2. Initiators of and Procedure for Amending the Constitution of Ukraine	461
11.3.3. Legal Regulation of Initiators and Procedure for Amending the Constitution of the Republic of Poland	475
11.3.4. Modernisation of the Provisions of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland and the Constitution of Ukraine	487
11.4. Conclusions	490
BIBLIOGRAPHY	492
 <i>Dana Šramková</i>	
CHAPTER 12. Constitutional and EU Conformity of the Evolution of Legal Regulation in the Field of Monetary Law	497
12.1. Introduction	497
12.2. Constitutional Law in the Czech Republic and Its Development	498
12.3. The Constitution and Its Amendments	501
12.4. Constitutional Conformity of the Czech National Bank's Position	509
12.4.1. Legal Regulation of the Central Bank and Its Changes	509
12.4.2. The Importance of the Constitutional Anchoring of the Central Bank in the Constitution on the Example of the Ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic	517
12.5. Financial Arbitrator	524
12.6. Conclusions	532
BIBLIOGRAPHY	535

PART III. EFFICIENCY OF THE JUSTICE SYSTEM

Ushangi Bakhtadze

CHAPTER 13. Assessing the Efficiency of the Judiciary:

Comprehensive Research	541
13.1. Introduction	541
13.2. Methodology	543
13.2.1. Defining Indicators	545
13.2.1.1. Clearance Rate	546
13.2.1.2. Calculated Disposition Time and Case Turnover Ratio	547
13.2.1.3. Average Duration of Pending Cases	550
13.2.2. Summary	550
13.3. Measures of Judicial Efficiency: Literature Review	551
13.3.1. Summary	556
13.4. Comparative Evaluation of Judicial Efficiency Indicators: Enhancing the Assessment Methodology	558
13.4.1. Applied Module and Indicators	559
13.4.2. Assessment	563
13.5. Identifying Relevant Indicators for the Efficiency Assessment	566
13.5.1. Efficiency Direction 1 – Case Management	566
13.5.2. Efficiency Direction 2 – Procedures at the Court	568
13.5.3. Efficiency Direction 3 – Caseload Management	570
13.5.4. Efficiency Direction 4 – Timeframe Management	571
13.5.5. Efficiency Direction 5 – Judicial Management	572
13.5.6. Efficiency Direction 6 – Involvement of Non-Judge Staff	574
13.5.7. Instructions for Evaluation	576
13.5.8. Summary	577
13.6. Conclusions	578
BIBLIOGRAPHY	581

Maria Ariana Dociu

CHAPTER 14. Challenging an Administrative Act Before the Administrative Litigation Courts in Romania and the Preliminary Procedure That Has to Be Completed Before the Court Proceedings	585
14.1. Introduction	585
14.2. Definition of the Administrative Act	587
14.3. Features of the Administrative Act	589
14.3.1. The Administrative Act is the Main Legal Form of the Activity of Public Administration Authorities	589
14.3.2. The Administrative Act Represents a Unilateral Legal Will	590
14.3.3. The Administrative Act is Issued Only in the Exercise of Public Power	592
14.3.4. The Administrative Act Produces Legal Effects, Giving Rise to, Modifying or Extinguishing Correlative Rights and Obligations	593
14.3.5. The Legal Regime of the Administrative Act Is Governed by the Administrative Litigation Law	593
14.4. Validity Conditions of the Administrative Acts	594
14.5. Legal Effects of Administrative Acts	596
14.6. The Institution of Administrative Litigation	599
14.7. The Organisation of the Judicial System in Romania Regarding Administrative Litigation	601
14.8. Conditions of Action in Administrative Litigation	602
14.8.1. The Condition That the Challenged Act Is an Administrative Act	602
14.8.2. The Condition That the Challenged Act Harms a Right or a Legitimate Interest	604
14.8.3. The Condition That the Act Emanate from a Public Authority	609
14.8.4. Condition of Fulfilment of the Preliminary Procedure	609
14.8.5. The Condition of Filing the Action Within a Certain Period	613

14.9. Procedural Aspects	615
14.9.1. The Subjects That Can Be Addressed to the Court	615
14.9.2. Competence of the Administrative Litigation Courts	620
14.9.3. Content of the Request, Judgment on the Merits and Solutions of the Administrative Court	621
14.9.4. Aspects Regarding Digitalisation	623
14.10. Conclusions	624
BIBLIOGRAPHY	626

Dimitry Gegenava

CHAPTER 15. Judicial Discretion, Hard Cases and Administrative Justice in Georgia	629
15.1. Introduction	629
15.2. The Georgian System of Administrative Justice and Judicial Discretion	631
15.2.1. Administrative Proceedings	631
15.2.1.1. Administrative Proceedings as Prerequisite for Administrative Justice	631
15.2.1.2. The Georgian System of Administrative Justice	633
15.2.1.3. Administrative Procedure, Judicial Discretion and Principle of Inquisition	635
15.2.2. Administrative Discretion and Judicial Discretion: Margins of Activity	637
15.2.2.1. Discretion of Administrative Body	637
15.2.2.2. Judicial Discretion to Evaluate the Discretion of an Administrative Organ	641
15.2.3. Principles and Margins of Using Judicial Discretion	644
15.2.3.1. Public Interest and Proportionality	644
15.2.3.2. Parties at Administrative Procedure, Judicial Discretion and Self Initiative	646
15.2.3.3. Rationality and Reasonableness	649

15.3. Hard Cases and Administrative Justice	650
15.3.1. Concept of Hard Cases	650
15.3.2. Elements of Hard Cases	652
15.3.3. Hard Cases v. Complex Cases	654
15.3.4. Dworkin, Hard Cases, and Principles of Dispute Resolution	655
15.3.5. Judicial Discretion and the Resolution of Hard Cases in Administrative Justice	657
15.3.5.1. Purposive Interpretation	657
15.3.5.2. <i>Contra Legem</i> Interpretation	669
15.3.5.3. Lawmaker Judge	672
15.4. Conclusions	674
BIBLIOGRAPHY	678

Anna-Maria Getoș Kalac

CHAPTER 16. The Obsession over Efficiency of Justice Systems: On Realities, Perceptions, Deterrence and the Bliss of Ignorance	685
16.1. Introduction	685
16.2. Key Terms Explained in View of the Efficiency-Obsession in the ‘Justice Business’	689
16.3. State of the Art in Measuring Performance and Assessing Efficiency in the Judiciary	695
16.4. Case Studies on Consequences of the ‘Managerialisation’ of the ‘Justice Business’	708
16.5. Conclusions	719
BIBLIOGRAPHY	723

Cristian Dumitru Miheș

CHAPTER 17. Digitalisation of Criminal Trials and Procedures in Romania	729
17.1. Introduction	729
17.2. Clarification of Concepts	734
17.2.1. Telematic Justice	734
17.2.2. Information Technology in Justice	735
17.2.3. Digitalisation of Justice	737

17.3. From Digitalised Justice to E-Justice. A Look at the Current State of Digitalisation of Criminal Justice in Romania	738
17.3.1. Digitalisation of Justice Administration	738
17.3.2. Dematerialisation of the Criminal Procedure	740
17.3.2.1. Conduct of Criminal Prosecution or Other Investigative Acts	740
17.3.2.2. Service of Procedural Documents	746
17.3.2.3. Influence of ICT in the Enforcement of Sanctions or Preventive Measures	748
17.4. Dematerialisation of the Criminal Offence	749
17.5. Conclusions	753
BIBLIOGRAPHY	754

Verena Rošic Feguš

CHAPTER 18. Party's Motion to Submit a Question for a Preliminary Ruling to the CJEU Through the Lens of the Right to a Reasoned Decision and the Right to a Fair Trial Under the European Convention of Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental Rights	757
18.1. Introduction	757
18.2. Party's Motion to Submit a Question for a Preliminary Ruling Before the Court of Last Instance and the Slovenian Normative Framework	762
18.2.1. The Appeal on a Point of Law as an Extraordinary Legal Remedy Under Slovenian Law	762
18.2.2. Party's Application to Submit a Question for a Preliminary Reference as a Part of an Application for Leave to Bring an Appeal on a Point of Law	764
18.2.3. An Intervention: Incompatibility of the Supreme Court's Case Law with the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia	765
18.3. The Decision Not to Refer and Obligation to State Reasons in the Jurisprudence of the CJEU	767

18.3.1. The Standards for the Duty to Refer Under Article 267(3) TFEU Established in <i>Conorzio Italian Management</i>	767
18.4. Article 6 of the ECHR and the Right to a Reasoned Response to a Request for a Preliminary Ruling	769
18.4.1. Duty to Refer and ECtHR	769
18.4.2. ECtHR Principles in Regard to the Obligation to Refer Under Article 267(3) TFEU	770
18.5. Obligation to State Reasons as an Obligation for Administrative and Judicial Decision Making	774
18.5.1. Obligation to State Reasons Under Article 47 of the Charter	775
18.5.2. Reasoned Decisions and the Scope of Article 6 of the ECHR	779
18.5.3. The Right to Good Administration: An Additional View	781
18.5.4. Clear and Transparent Decision Under the Rule of Law and Good Governance	783
18.6. Good Practices and Effective Solutions – Some Implications <i>De Lege Ferenda</i>	786
18.7. Conclusions	791
BIBLIOGRAPHY	793

Kristian Turkalj

CHAPTER 19. Digitalisation of Judicial Proceedings in Administrative Matters as a Means of Ensuring the Right to a Fair Trial	799
19.1. Introduction	799
19.2. Development of Administrative Judicial Proceedings in the Republic of Croatia	801
19.3. Specifics of the Administrative Dispute and Their Impact on Respect for the Right to a Trial within a Reasonable Time	807
19.4. The Legal Framework Regulating Electronic Communication in Administrative Court Proceedings	813
19.5. Efficiency of Administrative Trials and Respect for the Right to a Trial within a Reasonable Time	823

19.6. Transparency and the Right to Access to the Court Case File – Remote Access to Case Files	832
19.7. Impartiality in Conducting an Administrative Dispute	839
19.8. Conclusions	845
BIBLIOGRAPHY	847

PART IV. ADMINISTRATIVE JUSTICE REFORM

Igor Metelskyi

CHAPTER 20. Reform of Administrative Justice in Ukraine	857
20.1. Introduction	857
20.2. Preconditions and Necessity of Reforming Administrative Justice	861
20.3. The Importance of Administrative Justice for the Protection of Human Rights and Freedoms	872
20.4. The Importance of Administrative Court Proceedings in Terms of the Realisation of the Postulate of an Effective, Reliable and Rule-of-Law State	880
20.5. Recent Trends and Developments in the Field of Judicial and Administrative Organisation	886
20.6. Conclusions	895
BIBLIOGRAPHY	897

Olga Pouperová

CHAPTER 21. Administrative Justice in the Czech Republic – Essential Principles and Exceptions to Them	901
21.1. Introduction	901
21.2. The Ideas That Stand Behind Administrative Justice	902
21.3. Administrative Justice in the Czech Republic – Concept and Essential Principles, Jurisdiction of Courts in Administrative Justice	906
21.4. Administrative Justice and Exceptions to Essential Principles	915
21.5. Essential Principles of the Czech Administrative Justice – Summary	917
21.6. Protection of Public-Law Rights – <i>Locus Standi</i>	918

21.7. Standing in the Public Interest	921
21.8. Protection of Individuals' Public-Law Rights – the Material Concept of an Administrative Decision	929
21.9. Cassation Principle – Review Role Versus the Role of Finding Justice	933
21.10. Moderation of Administrative Penalty	939
21.11. Conclusions	943
BIBLIOGRAPHY	944